IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

Docket No. 37113

| STATE OF IDAHO, |) 2010 Unpublished Opinion No. 603 |
|---|--|
| Plaintiff-Respondent, |) Filed: August 24, 2010 |
| v. |) Stephen W. Kenyon, Clerk |
| MARGARITA T. ZEPEDA, |)) THIS IS AN UNPUBLISHED) OPINION AND SHALL NOT |
| Defendant-Appellant. |) BE CITED AS AUTHORITY |
| Falls County. Hon. G. Richard Bevar Appeal from judgment of conviction Greg S. Silvey, Kuna, for appellant. | and sentence for forgery, dismissed. |
| Hon. Lawrence G. Wasden, Attorney General, Boise, for respondent. | General; Lori A. Fleming, Deputy Attorney |
| • | ief Judge, GUTIERREZ, Judge ATTON, Judge |

PER CURIAM

Margarita T. Zepeda was charged with two counts of forgery, Idaho Code § 18-3601. Pursuant to a plea agreement, Zepeda pleaded guilty to one count, and the State dismissed the other count, agreed not to file a persistent violator enhancement, and agreed to recommend a unified sentence of thirteen years with five years determinate. As a term of the plea agreement, Zepeda expressly waived her right to appeal any issues "involving the plea or the sentencing and any rulings made by the court," except that she retained the right to appeal the sentence if the court exceeded the State's sentencing recommendation. The district court imposed the sentence recommended by the State, a unified thirteen-year term with five years determinate, to run concurrently with Zepeda's sentence in another case. Zepeda appeals, contending only that her sentence is excessive.

A criminal defendant may waive her appellate rights as a term of a plea agreement, and such a waiver is enforceable. *State v. Murphy*, 125 Idaho 456, 457, 872 P.2d 719, 720 (1994). Here, Zepeda has presented no argument as to why the waiver of her right to appeal should be deemed invalid or unenforceable. Therefore, it appearing that Zepeda has waived the right to appeal the only issue that she attempts to raise to this Court, the appeal is hereby dismissed.